UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,187	11/03/2003	Matthew C. Schlesener	2362	3983
28004 SPRINT	7590 01/09/200	9	EXAMINER	
6391 SPRINT I			VU, VET DUY	
KSOPHT0101-Z2100 OVERLAND PARK, KS 66251-2100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2454	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/700,187	SCHLESENER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Viet Vu	2454			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 A</u>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-46 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examine  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 03 November 2003 is/a  Applicant may not request that any objection to the orece to the correction of the corre	vn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r.  re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected or bing objected in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected in the drawing(s) i	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Oπice	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/04.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/700,187 Page 2

Art Unit: 2454

## Art Rejections:

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shoaib et al, U.S. pat. No. 7,161,914.

Per claim 1, <u>Shoaib</u> discloses a method of operating location update/handover for a mobile device comprising:

a) providing a plurality of update messages (first, second, etc.,) from one or more location servers to a mobile device via a base station wherein the first update message indicates a

Art Unit: 2454

first type of message for the first update message (see col 2, lines 1-12); and

**b)** determining a priority associated with the update message based upon the type of message for the update message (see col 8, line 65 - col 9, line 38).

Shoaib does not explicitly teach receiving the update messages in the location server from network gateway.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to providing network information from network gateway to the location server because it would have enabled the location server to provide such information to the mobile device (see col 2, lines 1-12).

Per claims 2-3, <u>Shoaib</u> teaches evaluating messages/criteria for triggering predefined actions ignoring/dropping and accepting requests, etc., (see col 11, lines 24-65).

Per claims 4-23, <u>Shoaib</u>'s teachings encompass all claim limitations.

Claims 24-46 are similar in scope as that of claims 1-23 and hence are rejected for the same rationale set forth above for claims 1-23.

Application/Control Number: 10/700,187

Page 4

Art Unit: 2454

## Conclusion:

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Viet Vu whose telephone number is 571-272-3977. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:00am to 4:00pm. The Group general information number is 571-272-2100. The Group fax number is 571-273-8300.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn, can be reached on 571-272-1915.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Viet Vu/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2454
1/5/09